

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/010,471	8th November 2001	Brian CURTIS	2000P09041US01
DATE OF RESPONSE	ART UNIT	EXAMINER	
7th October 2004	2636	Julie Bichngoc LIEU	

REMARKS


Claims 36 and 43 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b), and claims 46-59 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a). The Examiner found Claims 21 – 35 allowable. The Examiner objected to Claims 40, 42, 44, 45 and 53 as being dependent upon rejected base claims, but found them allowable if rewritten in independent form. The Examiner made no further rejections or objections with regard to Claims 37-39, 41 or 43, however Applicant has assumed that the Examiner would have objected to Claims 37-39, 41 and 43 as being dependent upon rejected base claims, and further that the Examiner would have found them allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Applicant has cancelled claims 36 and 46-59, and has amended claims 37 and 43 placing all remaining claims in condition for allowance.

7th October 2004

PLEASE DIRECT ALL WRITTEN
CORRESPONDENCE TO:
Customer No. 024500
Siemens Corporation
170 Wood Avenue South
Iselin, NJ 08830

Respectfully submitted,



Laura M. Slenzak, Reg. No. 35,363
Attorney for Applicant(s)
phone +1-248-209-5698
fax +1-248-209-4050
email laura.slenzak@siemens.com